WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.		
Fernando Pineda-Mendez		Case Number:	13-7027m	
was pre	sent an	d was represented by counsel. I conclude by ne detention of the defendant pending trial in	y a preponderance of the	nas been submitted to the Court. Defendant evidence the defendant is a serious flight
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
	×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	States or lawfully admit	ted for permanent residence.
	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged of	offense, was in the Unite	d States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
		The defendant has no significant contacts	in the United States or in	the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but substantial family ties to Mexico.	has no substantial ties in	n Arizona or in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure to appear i	n court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enfe	orcement contact by flee	ing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	yea	rs imprisonment.
Court at	The Co	urt incorporates by reference the material fire of the hearing in this matter, except as no	ndings of the Pretrial Ser ted in the record.	vices Agency which were reviewed by the
		CONCL	USIONS OF LAW	
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant we No condition or combination of conditions we		e appearance of the defendant as required.
		DIRECTIONS F	REGARDING DETENTION	DN
pending order of	rections appeal a court hall deli	facility separate, to the extent practicable, for the defendant shall be afforded a reason of the United States or on request of an attower the defendant to the United States Mars	rom persons awaiting or able opportunity for priva orney for the Governmen	te consultation with defense counsel. On t, the person in charge of the corrections appearance in connection with a court
District from the	er a copy Court. If date of ns with	y of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effort f service of a copy of this order or after the count the district court. Failure to timely file object	Pretrial Services at leas ective December 1, 2009 oral order is stated on the	record within which to file specific written
	Service	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the sufficiently in advance of the hearing before vestigate the potential third party custodian.	re the District Court to all	
DATE:	2/6/1	3	Bridge	Bridget S. Bade